Wisconsin Style

Presented at the 2005 Louisiana Asphalt Technology Conference Shreveport, LA

> by Erv Dukatz VP - Materials and Research Mathy Construction Co.

Overview

- How Wisconsin went to Contractor Data Acceptance
- Required Testing by WisDOT Specifications.
- Examples of Contractor QC and CA data.
- How does it really work?
- Quality Verification (QV) samples:
 - → QC, QA, QA and QV results agree and
 - → when they don't.

Use of Contractor Data for Pay **Development time line** 1 Methods and Materials Specifications - DOT QC and Acceptance Testing Total DOT Control 2 QC Specifications Us vs. Them Highway Technician Certification Program -Contractor QC testing DOT QA testing for acceptance 2a Workmanship and Materials Warranty Specifications Contractor QC Testing Us vs. Them DOT QA testing for acceptance Wisconsin has a 1 year W & M Specification

Con't - development time line

- 3 Contractors and DOT developed comfort level with volumetrics
- **4 QMP Specifications**



– Contractor QC and Acceptance Testing
– DOT Independent Assurance Sampling (IAS)

5 Performance Warranty Specifications Contractor QC testing and acceptance Contractor ownership of pavement open communication with DOT

WisDOT Required Testing OC Testing

Daily Plant Production in tons	Samples <u>per day</u>
50 - 600	1
601- 1500	2
1501 - 2700	3
2701 - 4200	4
greater than 4200	add sample for each

add sample for each additional 1500 or fraction

Sample size large enough to split for QC-test and QC-retained portions.

QC Sampling and Splitting



WisDOT Required Testing

CA Testing - frequency set by Contractor

- independent check on QC results using
- •QC retained sample with
- separate set of equipment and
- different certified technician and can
- •set firewall to limit contractor liability if
- •notify Engineer using QC-ret as CA.

Evaluation of CA Test Results Quality Control and Contractor Assurance Testing Evaluation of CA Test Results Meets allowable QC/CA tolerances? YES NO Plot data point Notify Dept Review Calculations for test results 1. Consider proper use of correction factors 2. 3. Check equipment condition and calibration 4. Review sampling and splitting observations for proper technique QC testing validated by CA Limit of QV Liability Plot data point established to that YES (note any tonnage if QC Is problem solved? corrections) meets Acceptable QV Parameters Notify Dept NO YES Check QC/CA data relationship as to meeting Acceptable QV Parameters Limit of <u>one</u> test sample backward from the Discuss w/Dept existing CA point NO Testing a YES YES Consider stopping "previous" Contractor option to production if pavement existing QC test additional CA failure potential is retained? sample present NO NO Take additional QC/CA sample. Plot data point Consider stopping if pavement failure potential is present Notify Dept Note: Could request qualification as replacement QC Consider stopping

production if pavement failure potential is present

Asphalt Mixture Running Average Data Sheet													
Project Name			T I	Plant Location					Design No.				
Nelson-Durand STH 25 Warrenty				Durand					55-4-04-E3-12.5(W)				
	Project Number			† Ť	State / County				-	Asphait Type			
	7171	09-71			Wisconsin / Buffalo				PG 58-28				
P								Ave V	VAMA	Awn MMA	D		D
	Dofe	Test	C.	Ave G .	G	Ave G	** (90.)	(90)	(96.)	/90)	/SC MIV)	Ave P.	/% Tank\
Dectars	Date	TCOL	2.466		2 505		(72)	(24)	14.2	(24)	(76 MIN) 5 0	1.03.0	(ze rank)
Design			2.400		2.909		4.0 Law	High	14.2 Law		ə.z	High	
IME							10W	E 9	10 7		4.0	E C	
Warning							3.0	5.0	13.0		4.0	5.5	
wanning	11-402	5-2	2.400	2.406	2.408	2 508	3.0	4.1	14.4	14.2	5.2	5.2	
	12.4ug	6.1	2,400	2,400	2.450	2.500	4.7	4.1	14.2	14.2	5.2	5.2	
	12-Mug	6-2	2,407	2.400	2,400	2.508	3.6	4.0	14.4	14.3	5.2	5.2	
	12-2-lug 12-4-lug	6.3	2,405	2,400	2,435	2,000	3.6	3.0	12.6	14.2	5.2	5.2	
	12 Aug	6-0	2,420	2.410	2.010	2.005	2.0	2.5	13.0	12.0	5.2	5.2	
	12-2 ug	0.4	2,421	2.415	2.000	2.010	3.3	0.0	12.4	10.5	4.4	U.2.	
1	BROL	18 G	nang,	🤪 N	ew Gab =	2.658							
	13-Aug	7-1	2.415	2.417	2.532	2.512	4.6	3.8	13.9	13.8	5.2	5.2	
	13-Aug	7-2	2.419	2.420	2.522	2.518	4.1	3.9	13.7	13.7	5.2	5.2	
6	17-Aug	8-1	2.420	2.419	2.511	2.517	3.6	3.9	13.7	13.8	5.2	5.2	
	17-Aug	8-2	2,409	2.416	2.507	2.518	3.9	4.1	14.1	13.8	5.2	5.2	
	17-Aug	8-3	2.403	2.413	2.503	2.511	4.0	3.9	14.3	14.0	5.2	5.2	
	17-Aug	8-4	2.409	2.410	2.509	2.508	4.0	3.9	14.1	14.0	5.2	5.2	
	18-Aug	9-1	2.413	2.409	2.525	2.511	4.4	4.1	13.9	14.1	5.2	5.2	
-	18-Aug	9-2	2.417	2.411	2.532	2.517	4.6	4.2	13.8	14.0	5.2	5.2	
	18-Aug	9-3	2.414	2.413	2.514	2.520	4.0	4.2	13.9	13.9	5.2	5.2	
	18-Aug	94	2.423	2.417	2.521	2.523	3.9	4.2	13.7	13.8	5.3	5.2	
	19-Aug	10-1	2,405	2.415	2.506	2.518	4.0	4.1	14,3	13.9	5.3	5.3	
	19-Aug	10-2	2.413	2.414	2.516	2.514	4.1	4.0	14.0	14.0	5.3	5.3	
	19-Aug	10-3	2.416	2.414	2.531	2.518	4.5	4.1	13.9	14.0	5.3	5.3	
	19-Aug	10-4	2.416	2.413	2.505	2.515	3.6	4.1	13.9	14.0	5.3	5.3	
-	20-Aug	11-1	2.411	2.414	2.506	2.515	3.8	4.0	14.1	14.0	5.3	5.3	
-	20-Aug	11-2	2.411	2.413	2.512	2.513	4.0	4.0	14.1	14.0	5.3	5.3	
	20-Aug	11-3	2.411	2.412	2.509	2.508	3.9	3.8	14.1	14.1	5.3	5.3	



WisDOT Required Testing

QV Testing

- minimum 1 test / 30,000 tons of HMA produced per mix design
- unannounced random sample
- taken by contractor under direct observation of Engineer
- split into QV and QV-retained portions
- Engineer takes immediate possession

WAPA / DOT Tech Team: Verification Program

In-the-Quality-Box Air Voids: between 2.7% and 5.3% VMA: (JMF Limit - 1.5%)

example: for a 12.5mm nom. Max. size mix Min JMF VMA is 14%, therefore, the required QV minimum: 14%-



Comment

Note: As part of the review process one of the options is:

Stop Production

Observe, communicate, correct, resume production Contractor point of view:

If we're not going to get paid, why make it?

Oops - QV doesn't verify

District							
HWY #	USH 88						
Project Num	1190-22-55						
WISDOT Tes	st Number 250-	0159-01					
MIX Type	E - 3 12.5mm w/Recylce						
Date Sampled	09/17/04	09/20/04	09/21/04	09/21/04	09/21/04		
Tonnage							
Daily		180	205	670	850		
Cummulative							
sample	7-3	8-1	9-1	QV 9-1 +	9-2		
Number							
	00	20	00		00	00	00
	Va= 3.8 3.5	Va= 42 37	Va = 4.4 3.7		Va =	Va =	Va =
	Va - 5.6 5.5	Va - 4.2 5.7	VII - 4.1 0.7		72-	vu -	va-
	VMA = 15.2 15.0	VMA = 15.5 15.3	VMA = 15.9 15.3		VMA =	VMA =	VMA =
	QC-ret	QC-ret CA	QC-ret		QC-ret		
	Va=	Va = 28	Va =		Va =	Va =	Va -
	vu -	Tot	vu -		72-	vu -	va-
	VMA =	VMA = 15.0	VMA =		VMA =	VMA =	VMA =
				OV Dict			

VMA = 12.2 Out QV-ret Va =

VMA =





District			
Location			
Project Nu	mber		
WisDOT T	est Numb	per	250-0183-03
Mix Type	E-30	12.5mm	(w/Recycle)











Pavement performance Hwy 10



QMP Density - HMA pavement

Pavement Density:

- measured by calibrated and certified Nuclear Density Gauge
- certified technicians
- Pre-project correlation between Contractor and Engineer's Nuclear Density Gauge to ± 1 pcf average on standard block.
- Daily correlation at standard location ± 1 pcf on average.
- QC and QA measurements must correlate by ± 1.5 pcf.
- For Density Bonus:
 - greater than 93.1% of G_{mm} density and
 - $3.5\% \leq V_a \leq 5\%$

DOT Concerns:

- Can they meet the Specification? YES
- How well?
 - → 54 Warranty Projects with Contractor QC only.
 - → Nine are 7 years or older
 - with minimal Maintenance route and sealed cracks at 4th year.
 - → WisDOT computes pavement life has increase from 17 to 23 years.



Year





The End